ISSN 2319-5339 (P), 2583-7591 (O) IIS Univ.J.A. Vol.14 (2), 388-398 (2025)

Parallel Realities and Surreal Dystopias: An Analysis of Haruki Murakami's *1Q84*

V. Thulasi Bala & V. Navaneethamani

Abstract

Haruki Murakami's novel *1Q84* stands as a profound exploration of parallel realities and surreal dystopias within the otherworldly meta labyrinth of Tokyo in 1984. This research article delves into the intricacies of Murakami's narrative, focusing on the intertwined destinies of protagonists Aomame and Tengo as they navigate a world both eerily similar and fundamentally different from their own. Through a blend of surrealism and realism, Murakami presents a society on the brink of dystopia, where individual freedoms are curtailed by oppressive forces and enigmatic cults. The critique looks at how the novel questions accepted ideas of reality, asking readers to consider the complexity of perception and the subjectivity of truth. Murakami creates a narrative tapestry that goes beyond simple storytelling by using compelling prose and abundant symbolism to provide deep insights into the essence of existence and the human condition. In the end, *1Q84* is a hauntingly beautiful example of how writing can uncover the most obscure parts of the human psyche and stimulate existential reflection.

Keywords: Dystopia; Illusion; Magic-realism; Parallel Realities; Surrealism; Ultra-realism.

Introduction

Haruki Murakami is an author of great influence in the modern literary landscape. His novels boast an incredible array of surreal canvases, where he paints the most disillusioned picture of modern reality. His latest literary magnum opus, 1Q84, presents a layered and complex narrative that intertwines multiple themes, including dystopia, surrealism, and parallel realities. Set in Tokyo during the year 1984, the novel follows the intertwined lives of two protagonists, Aomame and Tengo, as they navigate a

world that resembles eerily like the real Tokyo yet is filled with subtle and unsettling differences. This research paper delves deep into the various elements of parallel realities and surreal dystopias portrayed in *1Q84* and analyzes how Murakami employs these themes to create a thought-provoking narrative.

Set in Tokyo during 1984, the novel follows the intertwined destinies of Aomame and Tengo as they navigate a world filled with enigmatic cults, mysterious forces, and subtle yet profound differences from their own reality. From the outset, *1Q84* presents a world where parallel realities intersect and diverge, challenging the characters' perceptions of reality. Aomame, upon noticing subtle changes in her surroundings, reflects: "I have entered a new world, a parallel reality. It's still Tokyo, but this Tokyo is different from the one I lived in yesterday afternoon" (Murakami, 64).

In 1984, Aomame is running late for work. As she is tryig to reach the Shibuya station and to her job punctually, Aomame decides, per the driver's recommendation, that it is ideal to go by the highway and descend through an exit stairway. It isn't until Aomame comes out of the stairway that she notices the nudges that maybe her shortcut was more than one route out. The universe is no longer the same, or maybe she's moved between worlds; there are two moons in the sky. As Aomame's mysterious taxi driver observes, "things are not what they seem." (79).

In the meantime, Komatsu, his editor, is making a compelling but unsettling proposal to Tengo, a young man who works as a fiction writer by night and teaches mathematics at a cram school by day. Tengo is contracted by Komatsu to act as a ghostwriter for a book. But it's not just any novel, it's a bizarre, unhinged book, penned by a 17-year-old girl named Fuka-Eri. The narrative tells the tale of the so called Little People, small creatures which emerge from the throat of a goat carcass and construct a edifice known as an Air Chrysalis. According to Susan J Napier "In 1Q84, the Little People act as a Jungian archetype, representing the collective unconscious. Their enigmatic presence is key to Murakami's surrealist exploration of power and agency within the dual realities of the novel." (Napier, 223).

Komatsu's request to Tengo entails a task that transcends mere editing, positioning itself as a hybrid of creation and revision. This unconventional collaboration between Komatsu and Tengo culminates in the reimagining of Air Chrysalis, a work of fiction that rapidly gains national acclaim. The novel's success acts as a narrative fulcrum, setting into motion a series of

interconnected events that ultimately converge in the lives of Tengo and Aomame. Against the backdrop of parallel realities, *1Q84* unfolds as a surreal dystopia marked by authoritarian structures and mysterious cultic influences. Central to this narrative is the Sakigake, a secretive religious organization whose authority is sustained through systemic censorship and psychological manipulation. Tengo reflects on the pervasive control exerted by the cult, noting: "The Sakigake dominated every aspect of its members' lives, monitoring their behavior, controlling their thoughts, and censoring their speech" (Murakami 345). This portrayal underscores the novel's thematic exploration of oppressive power dynamics within surreal and fragmented realities.

The pervasive influence of the Sakigake within the dystopian framework of *1Q84* illustrates a society where individual freedoms are systematically restricted, and dissent is actively suppressed. Haruki Murakami employs a fusion of realism and surrealism to construct a world teetering on the edge of dystopia, where the distinctions between truth and fiction dissolve, rendering the pursuit of meaning increasingly intangible.

Rather than presenting an entirely alternate reality, the lives of Tengo and Aomame suggest a subtler, more complex shift - one that involves a transformation in perspective rather than a literal relocation to another world. This nuanced approach emphasizes the fluidity of perception and reality within the narrative. Evidence of this thematic complexity is found in Tengo's introspective monologue, where he reflects on his disorientation:

"Could this mean, then, that this is the world of the novel? Could I have somehow left the real world and entered the world of Air Chrysalis like Alice falling down the rabbit hole? Or could the real world have been made over so as to match exactly the story of Air Chrysalis?" (578).

This passage highlights the destabilization of the boundaries between the tangible and the fictional, underscoring the novel's exploration of subjective reality and the intricate interplay between narrative and existence.

While the title, 1Q84, makes use of the fact that Q is a Japanese homophone for nine, it actually suggests a distinct perspective on the same world rather than an alternate reality. In a way, *1Q84* presents itself as an update to 1984 not a parallel universe, but a revised one erasing the previous one and writing immediately on top of it. Get rid of some history and add a moon. Tengo explains to his lover : "The story is about me or about somebody modeled on me. I'm sure it is," she said. "Am I in it? No,

you're not. I'm in a world that isn't here. So, I'm not in the world that isn't here. And not just you. The people who are in this world are not in the world that isn't here. How is the world that isn't here different from this world? Can you tell which world you're in now? Of course, I can. I'm the one who's writing it." (572)

It's enthralling to deconstruct two realities coexisting side by side as one reality devours itself, turns inside out, and becomes the one it isn't. The world is a record that is always changing. It's great that you were able to express the concept clearly enough. It takes extraordinary imagination to have grasped it and communicated it as effectively as Murakami did in 1Q84.

Murakami's exploration of parallel realities and surreal dystopias in 1Q84, transcends mere narrative technique, providing deep understandings of reality and the human predicament. By means of Aomame and Tengo's experiences, The novel turns into a reflection on the elusive nature of authenticity in an uncertain society and the subjective character of truth. As Aomame reflects: "The only way we can identify the real from the unreal is by our sense of touch, smell, sight, sound, and taste" (416). This underscores the novel's central theme of perception versus reality, highlighting the importance of sensory experience in discerning truth from illusion.

1Q84 stands as a testament to Haruki Murakami's unparalleled ability to merge parallel realities and surreal dystopias into a singular narrative tapestry. Through evocative prose and rich symbolism, Murakami invites readers to embark on a journey of self-discovery and existential inquiry. As Aomame and Tengo navigate the labyrinthine corridors of 1Q84, they confront their deepest fears and desires, ultimately transcending the boundaries of space and time. In the words of Murakami: "In a world full of lies, the most dangerous thing you can do is tell the truth"(226).

In addition to being referred to as "Kafkaesque," Murakami's work has also been referred to as "surrealist." "Murakami's dual moons in *1Q84* serve as a surrealist motif that destabilizes the reader's sense of reality, echoing the parallel worlds often depicted in Borges' and Kafka's works." (Fisher, 385). One of his most popular novels, Kafka on the Shore, is naturally endowed with the formerly popular label "magic realism." This reference raises the question of whether it is self-referential. Murakami is highlighting the genre's potential attraction to both writers and readers, as well as the beauty of realistic description. Additionally, he is highlighting how it can be distinguished and acquire its own status without really

adding meaning in the traditional sense.

Haruki Murakami's *1Q84* unmistakably engages with George Orwell's 1984, both thematically and structurally. The novel's title is a deliberate reference to Orwell's dystopian masterpiece, signaling its thematic exploration of surveillance, authoritarian control, and alternate realities. By transposing Orwell's world into a surrealist framework, Murakami reimagines the oppressive structures of 1984 within a literary landscape that emphasizes ambiguity, intertextuality, and psychological depth. This note delves into the ways in which *1Q84* echoes Orwell's work, offering a nuanced critique of control, reality, and truth.

The very title *1Q84* underscores Murakami's engagement with Orwell. The "Q" in *1Q84* stands for a question mark, a Japanese homophone for the number nine, reflecting the uncertainty and instability of the alternate reality the novel presents. This linguistic play mirrors Orwell's use of language in 1984 as a means to shape perception and control thought. In Orwell's dystopia, the introduction of Newspeak curtails freedom by limiting the scope of language and expression. Similarly, the altered timeline in *1Q84* questions the integrity of memory and reality, as Aomame notes: "Something has changed. This isn't the world I knew. It's close, but it's not the same"(Murakami, 64).

In 1Q84, the Sakigake cult functions as an Orwellian authority, exerting control over its members' lives and monitoring their actions. Like Big Brother in 1984, Sakigake embodies the invasive power of authoritarianism, where personal freedoms are subjugated to collective control. Tengo observes: "The Sakigake dominated every aspect of its members' lives, monitoring their behavior, controlling their thoughts, and censoring their speech" (345). This echoes Orwell's depiction of the Party's control over its citizens, where even private thoughts are policed to ensure conformity.

A central theme in both 1984 and *1Q84* is the fragility and mutability of truth. In Orwell's novel, the Party rewrites history to align with its narrative, erasing dissent and fabricating reality. Similarly, Murakami's *1Q84* explores the concept of rewritten realities through Tengo's task of revising Fuka-Eri's novella, Air Chrysalis. This act becomes a metaphor for the power of storytelling to reshape perceptions of truth. Tengo reflects: "What if everything we take for real is just a story we tell ourselves?" (678).

The dual moons in *1Q84* serve as a symbolic representation of this fractured

reality. Aomame's observation of the two moons mirrors the doublethink in 1984: "There's the moon that everyone sees, and then there's the other moon. But people seem blind to its existence"(512). This duality challenges the characters' understanding of their world, just as Orwell's doublethink compels citizens to hold contradictory beliefs simultaneously.

Murakami's intertextual approach deepens his engagement with Orwell. The deliberate invocation of Chekhov's gun in *1Q84* underscores the novel's metafictional commentary on narrative structure and inevitability. Tamaru's warning to Aomame reflects this principle: "According to Chekhov, once a gun appears in a story, it has to be fired. But this is not a story. We're talking about the real world" (687). This blending of fiction and reality parallels Orwell's manipulation of narrative to enforce ideological control.

Furthermore, Murakami's portrayal of authoritarianism in Sakigake echoes Orwell's themes of ideological indoctrination. "Murakami's *1Q84* consciously dialogues with Orwell's 1984, creating a dystopian world where surveillance and authoritarian control are refracted through a surrealist lens, challenging the reader to interrogate the nature of truth." (Chozick, 694) The Little People in 1Q84, much like Orwell's Party, represent an enigmatic force that exerts a profound influence over the characters' lives. This supernatural dimension adds a layer of surrealism to Murakami's critique of societal control, distinguishing it from Orwell's stark realism.

Both novels grapple with the role of memory in constructing identity. In 1984, the Party's manipulation of the past eradicates individual memory, aligning personal identity with the Party's ideology. In 1Q84, Aomame and Tengo struggle to reconcile their fragmented memories with the altered reality they inhabit. As Tengo muses "I'm in a world that isn't here. So, I'm not in the world that isn't here. How is the world that isn't here different from this world? Can you tell which world you're in now?" (Murakami, 572). This philosophical inquiry into the nature of reality aligns with Orwell's exploration of how control over memory shapes the present and future.

Murakami's *1Q84* serves as both homage to and reinvention of Orwell's 1984. While Orwell's novel critiques totalitarian regimes through stark dystopian realism, Murakami employs surrealism to examine the psychological and metaphysical dimensions of control and reality. Through its intricate narrative, intertextual references, and thematic resonance, *1Q84*

invites readers to reflect on the power of storytelling, the malleability of truth, and the enduring relevance of Orwell's warnings in a modern context.

When it comes to thrillers, this one goes the professorial approach, incorporating several allusions to Western culture. For example, there are references to jazz music, Janacek, and The Thomas Crown Affair. Proust is discussed. Carl Jung receives a thorough examination, and Anton Chekhov's works take the stage. When the main characters flee, Chekhov is mentioned in two different situations. Tengo is asked to read her to sleep when Fuka-Eri, who recently fled the religious cult, arrives at his flat. He takes out Chekhov's non-fiction description of the terrible conditions on Sakhalin Island, a prison colony, as he is unable to locate his copy of 1984 by George Orwell. Tengo reads the book to Fuka-Eri and then makes silent comments about it.

Mixed in with the dry records are some very impressive examples of observation of character and scenic description. Which is not to say there is anything wrong with the dry passages that relate only facts. Some of them are quite marvelous". (603).

This reference raises the question of self-referentiality. Murakami underscores the meticulous crafting of realistic description and the inherent allure this genre holds for both writers and readers. Moreover, he illuminates how such descriptions can achieve a distinctive status, independent of traditional notions of meaning or narrative significance. "By referencing Chekhov's principle of narrative economy, Murakami underscores his metafictional concern with the mechanics of storytelling, transforming *1Q84* into a self-aware text that critiques its own construction." (Rubin, 176.)

A second time, Chekhov is mentioned. As the assassin Aomame prepares to go into hiding, she turns to Tamaru, her employer's bodyguard a man who uses violence with a degree of scrupulousness for help. It appears that Tamaru is also a fan of Chekhov and, eventually, Proust. As a result, he advises Aomame against taking the gun she has asked for self-defense. Tamaru here alludes to Chekhov's. Murakami integrates Anton Chekhov's dictum on narrative economy "If a gun appears in a story, it must be fired" into the dialogue between Tamaru and Aomame:

According to Chekhov," Tamaru said, rising from his chair," once a gun appears in a story, it has to be fired.

> Meaning what?" Tamaru stood straight facing Aomame. He was only a couple of inches taller than her.
>
> Meaning, don't bring unnecessary props into a story. If a pistol appears, it has to be fired at some point. Chekhov liked to write stories that did away with all useless ornamentation" (Murakami, 325).

This interaction continues as Aomame and Tamaru discuss the implications of Chekhov's principle in their situation:

> Aomame put her purse over her shoulder and rolled up the sleeves of her dress. "And that worries you if a pistol comes on the scene, it's sure to be fired at some point."
>
> In Chekhov's view, yes.
>
> So you're thinking you'd rather not hand me a pistol.
>
> They're dangerous. And illegal. And Chekhov is a writer you can trust.
>
> But this is not a story. We're talking about the real world.
>
> Tamaru narrowed his eyes and looked hard at Aomame. Then, slowly opening his mouth, he said, "Who knows? (687).

This passage reflects Murakami's tendency to blur the lines between fiction and reality, inviting readers to question the extent to which narrative constructs shape real-world perceptions. This is just one of Murakami's incredible works. Around Chekhov's dictum, there is a clash between the nature of reality and how it relates to the novel's unrealistic scenario. As the story draws to a close, Chekhov's dictum which is not only poignantly reiterated but also made very clear finally pushes Aomame to fire the revolver Tamaru gave her.

The fact that Chekhov's legacy is mentioned in these disparate but related passages strewn across the book reveals Murakami's true colours. In addition to being a doctor, Chekhov's approach and ideas were based in the actual, palpable, and empirical. These kinds of elements are found in Chekhov's remarkable instances of character and scenery description.

It is clear that Murakami is purposefully fusing different styles. He makes the same point as many non-realistic authors: "Naturalistic" or "realistic" literature depends on implausible situations just like non-realistic fiction

does. Even the most realistic novels rely heavily on coincidences for their plots people "accidentally" running into each other, letters missing, disastrous accidents, and so forth. Realistic fiction frequently relies on uncommon occurrences like murders, inheritances, and political changes. Furthermore, a significant narrative point in a lot of the most enjoyable realistic fictions features amazing character changes. Furthermore, realistic fiction exhibits the same fetish of artificially created social interactions, technologies, and items as non-realistic fiction. These elements are simply magnified, reversed, or coloured in non-realistic writing to emphasize or minimize their centrality and/or strangeness.

Murakami's *1Q84* intricately interweaves intertextual elements, drawing on diverse literary and philosophical traditions to deepen its narrative complexity. Among these references, the novel explicitly invokes figures like Chekhov, Proust, Dostoevsky, and Jung while also reflecting on the creative process itself, particularly through the metafictional lens provided by the rewriting of Air Chrysalis. This engagement not only enriches the narrative but also creates a dialogue about the nature of art, authorship, and textuality.

The collaboration between Tengo and Fuka-Eri on Air Chrysalis exemplifies a meta-textual exploration of the creative process. While Fuka-Eri's original work is portrayed as raw and unpolished, Tengo's role as a "technician" is to refine its style and structure for broader acceptance. Tengo himself acknowledges this division of labor: "I am merely a technician, taking someone else's creation and enhancing its readability" (198). This framing introduces a layered commentary on authorship, as Tengo downplays his creative role, implying that style is an "afterthought rather than a necessary component of the creative process." However, Murakami subverts this self-effacing statement by revealing the intricate interplay between form and content, suggesting that style profoundly shapes a text's reception and meaning.

In this dynamic, Air Chrysalis can be read as an intertextual reflection of *1Q84* itself. The rewriting of the novella mirrors Murakami's own creative process, where existing literary forms and traditions are reimagined and reconstructed. The novel's explicit references to Proust and Dostoevsky align with this intertextuality, as both authors are emblematic of works that blend stylistic innovation with deep philosophical inquiry. Proust's meticulous exploration of memory and perception in In Search of Lost Time resonates with Murakami's attention to parallel realities, while Dostoevsky's existential themes in works like The Brothers Karamazov and

Crime and Punishment echo the moral and psychological struggles of Murakami's characters.

Moreover, Jung's influence in *1Q84* deepens the novel's engagement with archetypes and the unconscious. The Little People, enigmatic beings central to Air Chrysalis, reflect Jungian concepts of collective unconscious and symbolic representation. Their emergence in the narrative underscores the porous boundaries between the real and the surreal, a theme that parallels the dual worlds of *1Q84* and the recursive, dream-like structures of Jung's psychoanalytic theories.

Murakami's invocation of Chekhov adds another layer of intertextuality. Chekhov's dictum about narrative economy "If a gun appears in a story, it must be fired" is explicitly referenced in Tamaru's conversation with Aomame: "According to Chekhov, once a gun appears in a story, it has to be fired...But this is not a story. We're talking about the real world" (687). This exchange blurs the lines between narrative convention and lived experience, a hallmark of Murakami's metafictional approach. It also invites readers to reflect on the mechanics of storytelling, drawing attention to the constructed nature of the text.

Conclusion

1Q84 engages with intertextuality not merely as a structural or thematic device but as a means of interrogating the very nature of narrative and authorship. By referencing figures such as Chekhov, Proust, Dostoevsky, and Jung, Murakami situates his work within a rich literary and philosophical tradition while simultaneously questioning and reshaping it. "Murakami's work thrives on intertextual dialogues, blending Western literary traditions with Japanese cultural narratives. By invoking Orwell, Proust, and Chekhov, *1Q84* interrogates the fluid boundaries between literary heritage and contemporary storytelling." *(Strecher 89)*. The rewriting of Air Chrysalis becomes emblematic of this process, serving as a meta-commentary on the creative act and its implications. Through these intertextual dialogues, *1Q84* emerges as a work that challenges readers to reconsider the boundaries between text and reality, author and narrative, and form and meaning. This encapsulates the novel's profound exploration of truth, deception, and the precarious balance between reality and illusion. Given that fiction is merely an expanded form of reality, *1Q84* remains a hauntingly beautiful illustration of how writing can illuminate the most hidden facets of the human psyche and compel readers to reflect on the nature of their own world.

Works Cited

Murakami, Haruki. *1Q84.* Translated by Jay Rubin and Philip Gabriel, Knopf, 2011.

Chekhov, Anton. *Selected Stories of Anton Chekhov.* Translated by Richard Pevear and Larissa Volokhonsky, Modern Library, 2000.

Chozick, Matthew. "Memory, Metafiction, and Murakami: Postmodern Intertextuality in *1Q84.*" *Comparative Literature Studies,* vol. 51, no. 4, 2014, pp. 675–94.

Dostoevsky, Fyodor. *The Brothers Karamazov.* Translated by Richard Pevear and Larissa Volokhonsky, Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2002.

Fisher, Susan. "The Magical Realism of Murakami's *1Q84:* Surrealism in a Postmodern Framework." *Journal of Japanese Studies,* vol. 40, no. 2, 2014

Jung, Carl. *The Archetypes and the Collective Unconscious.* Translated by R. F. C. Hull, Princeton UP, 1969.

Napier, Susan J. *The Fantastic in Modern Japanese Literature: The Subversion of Modernity.* Routledge, 1996

Orwell, George. *Nineteen Eighty-Four. 1*949. Penguin Classics, 2021.

Proust, Marcel. *In Search of Lost Time.* Translated by C. K. Scott Moncrieff and Terence Kilmartin, Modern Library, 1992.

Rubin, Jay. *Haruki Murakami and the Music of Words.* Vintage, 2005.

Strecher, Matthew, *The Forbidden Worlds of Haruki Murakami,* University of Minnesota Press, 2014.